

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, United States Fatest and Tradesark Office Adapta. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO 8to 1550 Adaptation (pages 2211)-1450 www.tageb.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/935,522	08/23/2001	Yuschi Yamamoto	70368-56306	9099
21876 7	590 00/08/2004		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS &	ANGELL, LLP		WINTER, C	DATE E
P.O. BOX 9169	)			
BOSTON, MA	02209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/935.522 Advisory Action VAMAMOTO VIIICHI Examiner Art Unit

Gentle E. Winter 1746 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 114

## PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection

The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the melting date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) 1.

Notice of Appeal was filed on	Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in

- ension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- - (a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet,
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
- application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
- raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an
- explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: None

Claim(s) objected to: 5,7,15 and 17.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 11-18.

- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9 and 10
- 8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on 23 August 2001 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
- 10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

Zeinal Elanini ZEINAR EL-ARINI DRIMARY FXAMINER \*\* Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No. 009/935,522

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claim amendment(s) do not place the application in better position for appeal by materially simplifying issues. Additionally, the claim amendments, to the extent that they materially narrow the claims, would require an additional search. The arguments are not persuasive in overcoming the pending objections and relections.

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant calls the Examiner's attention to an IDS that was indicated to be filed on the date of the request for reconsideration. The IDS has not been received/matched as of the mailing date of this Official action.